

Montgomery County Public Schools

Cybercivility Task Force Meeting Feedback Carver Educational Services Center (CESC), Auditorium July 8, 2014, 7:00 to 8:30 p.m.

Was today’s meeting a good use of your time? Using the scale below, please rate your overall experience.

<i>Not Valuable</i>	<i>Somewhat Valuable</i>			<i>Extremely Valuable</i>	
1	2	3	4	5	
			9	9	<i>No Rating</i>
			50%	50%	1
					(Not included in %)

The most valuable part of today was...

1. Plenty of small group time allowed us to refine our ideas and form similar ideas with other groups.
2. Hearing information from other groups.
3. Discussing adding cybercivility to the curriculum.
4. Enjoyed hearing other group comments.
5. Hearing updates from the subcommittees.
6. Subcommittee share out updates, post committee deliverables.
7. Hearing from the other groups regarding their work and seeing how the work of our group is interconnected.
8. Meeting with a full group. Lots of good discussion and ability to narrow focus.
9. Hearing from subcommittees and the time to work within own subcommittee.
10. Listening to the work of all of the subcommittees. David’s “nuggets” he pulled out from the subcommittee “elevator” speeches.
11. Condensing the deliverables.
12. Hearing from other groups.
13. Spending time with the subcommittee.
14. Hearing other committee ideas.
15. Plenty of subcommittee time.
16. Subcommittee meetings, where the actual work gets done. Learning what other groups were doing was also invaluable.
17. My idea for a deliverable was considered and accepted. Group had valuable information to contribute from different aspects of MCPS community.
18. I think it’s good that 2 meetings were added because we need more time to work on ideas. It really helped to interact with other subcommittees and hear their ideas.
19. As always, the discussion during the subcommittee meeting. Having Dr. Steinberg, the Post reporter, and Gboyinde share their thoughts was also very helpful.

Today would have been better if ...

1. More people from our subcommittee had been there.
2. Less rain.
3. Better weather, wish more people could have attended.
4. Less time for subcommittee discussion since we already had action items.
5. We had more time to talk with the subcommittee.
6. More people in certain committees.
7. People showed up.
8. The meetings were a little longer, but I understand that people have obligations.
9. We had not had a terrible storm the same night as the meeting. Second time the weather has not cooperated.

Other Comments/Suggestions ...

1. Make clear task force goal/objective.
2. Hole punch handouts for binders.
3. With the cross pollination theme, perhaps a round robin carousel conference.
4. Yes, two or three additional meetings would be helpful to produce a quality recommendation(s).
5. Extending the cybercivility time period until October.
6. Find natural subcommittee combinations, have them meet/discuss.
7. As Dr. Steinberg mentioned, I think other schools sharing their success stories or lessons learned would be helpful. I often wonder how much cross-pollination there is among schools. Is there a way for administrators and counselors at all MCPS middle schools to share their success stories? Is there a "bulletin Board" or listserv at least where counselors can share what worked successfully with their student population? Do schools have a way of showcasing their success stories or do the schools and administrators rely on the media? I really like the idea, for example, of Wootton HS sharing their success story with our task force. It keeps us from "reinventing the wheel" and gives us a good example of something that can be reproduced or replicated at other schools.

Dates for August Cybercivility meeting...

Doodle poll being used to determine date for August meeting.